



Northwest Arctic Borough

2014 Alaska Housing Assessment



Table of Contents

North	west Arctic Boro	ıgh Dashboard
North	west Arctic Boro	ugh SummaryIII-V
	Community	
	Overcrowding.	
	Energy	N
	Affordability	
	Community, Re	gional, and Statewide Housing Characteristics
How to	o Interpret the P	rofile: Data Sources, Definitions & Clarifications A-H
North	west Arctic Boro	ارمین agh Profile
North	west Arctic Com	nunity Profiles
	Ambler	Data Quantity: Low
	Buckland	Data Quantity: Medium
	Deering	Data Quantity: Medium
	Kiana	Data Quantity: Medium
	Kivalina	Data Quantity: High
	Kobuk	Data Quantity: Medium
	Kotzebue	Data Quantity: High
	Noatak	Data Quantity: High
	Noorvik	Data Quantity: Low
	Selawik	Data Quantity: Medium
	Shungnak	Data Quantity: Medium

Northwest Arctic Borough

2014 Alaska Housing Assessment



Northwest Arctic Borough Dashboard

Population: The Alaska Department of Labor and Workforce Development's current (2012) population estimate for the Northwest Arctic Borough is 7,716–an increase of 7% from 2000.

Housing Units: There are currently 2,699 housing units in the Northwest Arctic Borough. Of these, 1,797 are occupied, 57 are for sale or rent, and the remaining 845 are seasonal or otherwise vacant units (Profile Figure C6).

Energy: The average home in the Northwest Arctic Borough is 941 square feet and uses 170,000 BTUs of energy per square foot annually, 24% more than the statewide average of 137,000 BTUs per square foot per year.

Energy Costs: Using AKWarm estimates, average annual energy cost for homes in the Northwest Arctic Borough is \$8,050, which is approximately 2.9 times more than the cost in Anchorage, and 3.8 times more than the national average (Profile Figure C13).

Energy Programs: Approximately 22% of occupied housing in the Northwest Arctic Borough has completed either the Home Energy Rebate, Weatherization, or BEES programs since 2008, compared to 21% statewide (Profile Figure C12).

Housing Quality: Within current housing stock, newer homes have better energy performance. On average, homes built in the 1960s are currently rated at 1-star-plus, compared to a current average rating of 4-stars for houses built after 2000.

Air-tightness: Within current housing stock, newer homes are tighter. On average, homes built in the last decade meet the 2009 BEES standard of 7 air-changes per hour at 50 pascals (ACH50). In contrast, homes built in the 1950s are 2.1 times leakier than those built since 2000 (Profile Figure C7).

Ventilation: An estimated 815 occupied housing units (or 45%) in the Northwest Arctic Borough are relatively air-tight and lack a continuous ventilation system. These houses are at higher risk of moisture and indoor air quality-related issues (Profile Figures C9-C10).

Overcrowding: 39% of occupied units are estimated to be either overcrowded (18%) or severely overcrowded (21%). This is roughly 13 times the national average, and makes the Northwest Arctic Borough the second most overcrowded census area in the state.

Affordability: On average, approximately 24% of households in the Northwest Arctic Borough spend more than 30% of total income on housing costs, which include rent, utilities, and energy costs. Based on average AKWarm estimates, annual energy costs constitute approximately 13% of census median area income for occupied housing.



Northwest Arctic Borough Summary

Community

The Northwest Arctic Borough census area is located on the western coast of Alaska, between the North Slope census area and the Nome Census area. It borders to the Yukon-Koyukuk census area to the east and the Bering Sea to the west. The census area makes up the NANA Native Corporation ANSCA region. Average home sizes in the Northwest Arctic range from 770 square feet in Kivalina to 1,258 square feet in Kotzebue. The overall average size for the census area, 941 square feet, is roughly half that found in urban Alaska.

Overcrowding

The Northwest Arctic census area has one of the highest levels of overcrowding in Alaska. Roughly 40% of all housing units in this area face overcrowding issues, with Buckland the most severe at 73% of households with more than one person per room. The lowest percentage of overcrowding is found in Deering, which still has over one in ten households (13%) considered overcrowded. Considering only the six most

populous communities, overcrowding varies between 30% and 73% of households, with the regional hub of Kotzebue having nearly one in three households overcrowded (Figure C-I).

Approximately 2% of housing in the census area is available for sale or rent. Some communities, such as Noatak, have an estimated no available housing. The highest percentage of available housing is found in Ambler, where 6% of housing units are available for sale or rent.





Energy

Homes in the Northwest Arctic use an average of 147 million BTUs of energy each year for an average annual cost of \$8,046. The highest average annual energy costs are found in Kobuk. residents where pay approximately \$9,534 per year and have one of the average home higher heating indices in the at 8.7 census area BTUs/ft²/HDD. This is over \$4,000 more than lowest average the energy costs in the census area, \$5,373 in Noatak. Housing units in both



Kivalina and Noatak use less than two-thirds the energy of homes in Kotzebue (Figure C-II). Possible reasons for this lower use include that approximately 58% and 73% of units in Noatak and Kivalina have been weatherized, respectively, and housing in both communities averages around 400-500 square feet smaller than houses in Kotzebue.

Approximately 26% of units in the census area have completed the Home Energy Rebate, Weatherization, or a BEES program since 2003. The greatest participation is found in Deering where 93% of households have completed one of the programs. Kotzebue has had the lowest participation rate at 5%. Considering only the six most populous communities, participation rates vary from 5% to 73% in the energy programs. The proportion of households that have a continuous ventilation system or HRV installed has increased over time, peaking at slightly more than half of all homes built between 2005 and 2011.

Northwest Arctic Borough

2014 Alaska Housing Assessment



Affordability

According to ACS estimates¹, between 8% (in Shungnak) and 41% (in Deering) of households in Northwest Arctic communities are cost-burdened, or spend more than 30% of household income on housing costs. Considering only the six most populous communities. costburdening varies from 17% to 31% of households (Figure C-III). Median incomes for these household communities range from \$36,875 to The highest median \$71,761. income of \$71.761 is found in Kotzebue. The lowest median income in the census area, \$31,250, is earned by the residents of Kobuk,



which is not one of the six most populous communities.

Community, Regional, and Statewide Housing Characteristics

This census area summary only includes the highlights of housing characteristics at the census area level. Detailed data profile with charts and tables for both the census area and for each of the communities within it follow. The 2014 Alaska Housing Assessment provides a significant amount of data and analysis at statewide, ANCSA region, census area, and community levels. This assessment provides a statewide analysis of housing characteristics, how they compare to national numbers, and the estimated housing needs. Within the 2014 Alaska Housing Assessment, written summaries are available for each individual ANCSA region and census area, and data profiles are available for each community and

¹ CCHRC's analysis of ACS energy costs indicate that there are systematic underestimations for rural Alaska, which suggests that ACS-based cost burdened housing estimates are low. See Appendix A, "Analysis of American Community Survey Energy Cost Estimates" for more details.



census area characterizing the housing stock from the perspective of community, overcrowding, energy and affordability. These different tiers of information and analysis allow researchers, housing authorities, policymakers and others to generate answers to specific questions. For a detailed discussion of estimating housing need and comparison of methods to previous Housing Assessments, see Appendix B, "Statewide Need Assessment" of the 2014 Alaska Housing Assessment.









2014 Alaska Housing Assessment







2

How to Interpret the Profile: Data Sources, Definitions & Clarifications

Heat Recovery: Continuous mechanical ventilation with heat recovery operated with automatic controls.

Continuous: Mechanical ventilation without heat recovery operated with automatic controls.

Non-Continuous ventilation: Includes homes with range and/or bath fans not operated using automatic controls.

ACH50: The results of a Figure H7: Average Tightness of Current Homes by Figure H8, xisting Ventilation Type by Decade Built **ARIS** blower door test to measure Decade Built 10.0 100% building air leakage. Smaller als 8.0 80% 6.0 60% numbers indicate tighter ACH @ 50 4.0 40% buildings. Tighter buildings 2.0 20% 0.0 0% lose less heated air to the 2000 - 2005 or Pre 1940s 1950s 1960s 1970s 1980s 1990s Pre -1940s 1950s 1960s 1970s 1980s 1990s 2000 - 2005 or 1940 2004 later 1940s 2004 outside and thus use less Existing housing by decade built Existing housing by decade built % Heat recovery % Non-continuous Air-tightness (ACH50) 2012 BEES Requirement % Continuous energy for space heating. Figure H9: Percent of Housing Stock at High Risk of ARIS Figure H10: Quantity of Housing Stock at High Risk of ARIS Moisture and Air Quality Problems Moisture and Air Quality Problems 450 100% 400 # Units at High Risk 80% 350 The 2012 Building Energy 300 60% 250 **Efficiency Standard** 40% 200 150 (BEES) for air-tightness is 20% 100 50 0% for reference only, as it 194 1950s 1960s 1970s 1980s 1990s 2000 - 2005 or Pre -1940s 1950s 1970s 1980s 1990s 2000 -2004 later Pre -194 Js 1960s Existing housing by decade built was implemented after 1940s 2011 8 High Risk Existing housing by decade built % Low Risk the majority of homes in Alaska were built. Decades with no bar High Risk of Moisture and Air Quality Problems: Note lack sufficient data that moisture or poor indoor air quality have not been Data Source: for reporting. They physically measured; these houses are considered Alaska Retrofit should not be "at-risk" because they are relatively air tight (less Information considered zero than 0.5 estimated natural air changes per hour) and System quantities. do not have a continuous ventilation system.





primary fuel.

How To Interpret the Profile

(medium data communities).

space.



















					ENERGY - No	orthwest Arctic Boro	ugh					
				Current Northw	est Arctic Borough I	Housing Energy Char	acteristics By Decade I	Built				
Comment De side atiel	# of	Avg Energy	A		Avg. Annual	Avg. Annual	Avg Ann Energy by	End Use (m	illion Btus)	A	A	A
Linits by Year Built	AkWarm	Rating	Avg Energ	y kating Avg Sq.	Energy Cost (with	Energy Use	Snace Heating	DHW	Annliances	AVg. EUI	AVg. ECI (\$ / SF)	Avg. Home Heating Index
onits by real built	Records	Stars	FOI		PCE)	(million BTUs)	Space Heating	DHW	Appliances	(KD1037317	(\$7.51)	Heating much
OVERALL	517	2-star plus	62	.7 941	\$8,046	147	105	20	23	170	\$9.19	7.6
Pre- 1940	2	NR	N	R NR	NR NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
1940- 49	0	NR	N	R NR	NR NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
1950- 59	6	2-star	50	.8 1,051	\$8,130	180	138	19	22	254	\$7.28	13.4
1960- 69	35	1-star plus	47	.4 1,060	\$8,550	161	133	4	24	177	\$10.13	9.1
1970- 79	349	2-star	53	.6 756	\$7,188	141	110	9	21	206	\$10.06	10.4
1980- 89	223	3-star	68	.5 1,042	\$8,914	162	109	30	23	167	\$9.14	7.2
1990-99	106	3-star plus	74	.4 1,018	\$8,053	131	80	27	23	131	\$8.05	5.1
2000- 2004	58	4-star plus	83	.0 1,210	\$8,866	143	77	42	23	122	\$7.77	4.0
2005 or later	40	4-star	81	.1 1,078	\$7,390	121	71	27	24	114	\$6.99	4.2
Figure C	11: Curr	ent Aver	age Enei	rgy Use Intensi	ity and 🛛 🗖	RIS Figure	e C12: Percent o	f Total F	lesidentia	al Space H	leating	ARIS
Δ	verage 9	Square Fo	otage h	v Decade Built	- -		Ene	rgy by Fi	uel Type			
300				,	1,400			01 - 1 -				
(R)					1 200	0						
250			•		- 1,200	age						
<u>5</u> 200				• •	- 1,000	oot						
S 150					- 800	ч						
					- 600			Woo	d			
₹ 100 - Incu	fficiont	·			400	5 Ele		19%				
)ata				400		1%					
	/414				- 200							
0					0				Fu	uel Oil		
Pre -	1940s 1	.950s 196	Os 1970s	1980s 1990s	2000 -					80%		
1940s					2011							
	E	xisting hous	ing by deca	ade built								
	🗆 EUI			 Square footage 								
				Current Northwest A	rctic Borough Housi	ng Envelope Charact	eristics By Decade Bui	lt				
	# of	1										
Current Residential	AkWarm	ACH 50	Ceiling R	Above Grade Wall	Below Grade Wall	Above Grade Floor	On Grade Floor R	Below Gr	ade Floor R	Door U	Garage	Window
Units by Year Built	Records			R	R	R					Door U	U
OVERALL	517	7.6	28	16	NR	29	3		NR	0.42	0.18	0.52
Pre- 1940	2	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR		NR	NR	NR	NR
1940- 49	0	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR		NR	NR	NR	NR
1950- 59	6	11.0	23	14	NR	27	NR		NR	0.49	NR	0.56
1960- 69	25	9.8	20	13	NR	21	NR		NR	0.45	NR	0.75
1970- 79	349	9.3	20	14	NR	24	NR		NR	0.51	NR	0.64
1980- 89	272	7.4	32	16	NR	31	NR		NR	0.31	NR	0.47
1990-99	106	6.1	32	17	NR	34	NR		NR	0.30	NR	0.43
2000- 2004	100	4.0	17	25	NR	42	NIR		NR	0.33	ND	0.43
2005 or later	30	4.9	47	20	NR		NR		NR	0.52		0.36
2003 01 18161	40	3.5	40	20	INIA	30	1417	1	1.1.1.1	0.19	NE	0.35
	a Zana P	7.0	20	20	1 5	20	15		16	0.22	0.22	0.22
BEES 2009 - Climat		7.0	38	30	15	38	15		15	0.22	0.22	0.22
BEES 2012 - Climat	e zone 8	4.0	48	30	15	38	15		15	0.22	0.22	0.22







Avg % of Median Income Spent on Energy

Northwest Arctic Borough





Electricity without PCE (\$/kWh)

\$

0.61

All Vacant housing

37



	Buckland city Housing Envelope Characteristics											
Residential Unit	Number of		Coiling P	Above Grade Wall B	Below Grade Wall	Above Grade Floor	On Grade Floor B	Below Grade Floor B	DoorU	Garage	Window	
Categories	Records	ACH 50	Cening R	Above Grade Wall R	R	R		Below Grade Hoor R		Door U	U	
Pre-retrofit units	54	5.9	37	19	NR	38	NR	NR	0.28	NR	0.43	
Retrofit units	45	5.2	58	19	NR	36	NR	NR	0.20	NR	0.33	
New construction	0	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	
Overall	99	5.7	42	19	NR	37	NR	NR	0.25	NR	0.40	
BEES 200	9	7.0	38	30	15	38	15	15	0.22	0.22	0.22	
BEES 201	2	4.0	48	30	15	38	15	15	0.22	0.22	0.22	







	Deering city Housing Envelope Characteristics											
Residential Unit	Number of		Coiling P	Above Grade Wall R	Below Grade Wall	Above Grade Floor	On Grade Floor B	Below Grade Floor B	Dear	Garage	Window	
Categories	Records	ACH 50	Cening R	Above Grade Wall R	R	R		below Grade Hoor R	0001 0	Door U	U	
Pre-retrofit units	27	5.7	24	19	NR	38	NR	NR	0.41	NR	0.43	
Retrofit units	28	4.2	62	26	NR	41	NR	NR	0.22	NR	0.38	
New construction	0	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	
Overall	55	5.1	32	22	NR	39	NR	NR	0.33	NR	0.41	
BEES 200	9	7.0	38	30	15	38	15	15	0.22	0.22	0.22	
BEES 201	2	4.0	48	30	15	38	15	15	0.22	0.22	0.22	







	Kiana city Housing Envelope Characteristics											
Residential Unit	Number of		Coiling P	Above Grade Wall R	Below Grade Wall	Above Grade Floor	On Grade Floor B	Below Grade Floor B	DeerU	Garage	Window	
Categories	Records		Centing R	Above Grade wait K	R	R		below Grade Hoor K	D001 0	Door U	U	
Pre-retrofit units	33	8.0	23	13	NR	25	NR	NR	0.76	NR	0.67	
Retrofit units	31	6.2	36	19	NR	31	NR	NR	0.19	NR	0.37	
New construction	1	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	
Overall	65	7.8	24	13	NR	25	NR	NR	0.69	NR	0.63	
BEES 200	BEES 2009 7.0 38 30 15 38 15 15 0.22 0.22 0.2									0.22		
BEES 201	2	4.0	48	30	15	38	15	15	0.22	0.22	0.22	







Houses Lacking Complete	Households				
Plumbing or Kitchen Facilities	Number	Percent			
Lack complete plumbing	79	100%			
Lack complete kitchen	71	90%			

Estimated Total Annual Community Space Heating Fuel Use									
Fuel Oil	33,860	(gallons)							
Nat Gas	-	(ccf)							
Electricity	17,967	(kWh)							
Wood	8	(cords)							
Propane	-	(gallons)							
Coal	-	(tons)							

Avg Annual Energy Cost with PCE	\$5,828
Avg Annual Energy Cost without PCE	\$8,037

Estimated Energy Prices as of January 2013								
#1 Fuel oil cost (\$ / gallon)	\$7.75							
Electricity with PCE (\$/kWh)	\$0.21							
Electricity cost without PCE (\$/kWh)	\$0.63							

Weatherization Program Retrofits										
(funding increased in 2008)										
Date Range	Units									
2008-2011	58									
2003-2007	NR									
1990-2002	NR									

Housing Stock Estimates	Number of Units
All Housing	85
All Occupied Housing	79
All Vacant housing	6
Vacant Housing for Sale or Rent	1

OVERCROWDING & VENTILATION - Kivalina city Figure H5: Overcrowded Units ACS Figure H6: Housing Occupancy MIX Overcrowded: 7 Vacant. Other Vacant: 3 recreational: 2 Renter-occupied: Vacant, for rent: 1 28 Severely overcrowded: 24 Occupied, Not **Owner-occupied:** overcrowded: 48 51 Figure H7: Average Air-Tightness of Figure H8: Existing Ventilation Type by Decade Built ARIS ARIS **Current Homes by Decade Built** 10.0 100% 90% pascals 8.0 80% Insufficient Data 70% Insufficient Data 6.0 60% 50% 50 4.0 40% ෂ 30% ACH 2.0 **Insufficient Data** 20% **Insufficient Data** 10% 0.0 0% Pre -1940s 1950s 1960s 1970s 1980s 1990s 2000 -2005 or Pre -1940s 1950s 1960s 1970s 1980s 1990s 2000 -2005 or 1940s 2004 later 1940s 2004 later Existing housing by decade built Existing housing by decade built Air-tightness (ACH50) -2012 BEES Requirement % Heat recovery % Continuous % Non-continuous Figure H9: Percent of Housing Stock at High Risk of Figure H10: Quantity of Housing Stock at High Risk of ARIS ARIS **Moisture and Air Quality Problems Moisture and Air Quality Problems** 2 100% 90% 2 # Units at High Risk 80% 1 70% 1 60% Insufficient Data 1 50% Insufficient Data 40% 1 30% 1 20% Insufficient Data 0 10% **Insufficient Data** 0 0% 1940s 1950s 1970s 1980s 2005 or 0 Pre -1960s 1990s 2000 -1940s 2004 later Pre -1940s 1950s 1960s 1970s 1980s 1990s 2000 -Existing housing by decade built 1940s 2011 Existing housing by decade built % Low Risk % High Risk

Housing



	ENERGY - Kivalina city															
Current Kivalina city Housing Energy Characteristics By Decade Built																
Current Residential	Number of	Avg Energy	Avg Fnergy Rating	Δνσ Sα	Avg. Annual	Avg. Annual	Avg Ann Energy by	nd Use (million Btus)		rgy by End Use (million Btus)		ergy by End Use (million Btus)		Avg. FUI	Avg. FCI	Avg. Home Heating
Units by Year Built	Records	Rating Stars	Points	Feet	Energy Cost (with PCE)	Energy Use (million BTUs)	Space Heating	DHW	Appliances	(kBTUS/SF)	(\$ / SF)	Index				
OVERALL	58	3-star	71.3	770	\$ 5,828	97	61	13	23	129	\$ 7.77	5.1				
Pre- 1940	0	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR				
1940- 49	0	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR				
1950- 59	0	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR				
1960- 69	13	3-star plus	73.1	482	\$ 2,844	46	30	0	16	100	\$ 6.14	3.9				
1970- 79	42	3-star	68.7	639	\$ 4,181	71	52	0	19	116	\$ 6.90	5.3				
1980- 89	38	3-star	70.0	855	\$ 7,480	123	69	30	24	146	\$ 8.89	4.9				
1990- 99	12	3-star	69.5	593	\$ 3,780	61	43	0	18	105	\$ 6.49	4.5				
2000- 2004	10	4-star plus	85.6	1,196	\$ 8,186	140	67	50	23	117	\$ 6.84	3.5				
2005 or later	0	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR				





Current Kivalina city Housing Envelope Characteristics By Decade Built											
Current Residential Units by Year Built	Number of Records	ACH 50	Ceiling R	Above Grade Wall R	Below Grade Wall R	Above Grade Floor R	On Grade Floor R	Below Grade Floor R	Door U	Garage Door U	Window U
OVERALL	58	7.9	34	19	NR	30	NR	NR	0.35	NR	0.48
Pre- 1940	0	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
1940- 49	0	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
1950- 59	0	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
1960- 69	13	9.0	39	19	NR	30	NR	NR	0.19	NR	0.39
1970- 79	42	8.9	34	18	NR	27	NR	NR	0.28	NR	0.45
1980- 89	38	7.2	37	20	NR	30	NR	NR	0.44	NR	0.52
1990- 99	12	6.2	40	19	NR	25	NR	NR	0.19	NR	0.39
2000- 2004	10	5.7	68	45	NR	67	NR	NR	0.33	NR	0.38
2005 or later	0	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
BEES 2009 - Climat	te Zone 8	7.0	38	30	15	38	15	15	0.22	0.22	0.22
BEES 2012 - Climat	te Zone 8	4.0	48	30	15	38	15	15	0.22	0.22	0.22





Avg % of Median Income Spent on Energy 9.7%





	Kobuk city Housing Envelope Characteristics											
Residential Unit	Number of		New For Carilian D. Albeiro Grado Woll P. Below Grade Wall Above Grade Floor On Grade Floor B. B.		Below Grade Floor R	DoorU	Garage	Window				
Categories	Records	ACH 50	Cening K	Above Grade Wall R	R	R		below Grade Hoor K	0001 0	Door U	U	
Pre-retrofit units	22	7.9	33	11	NR	32	NR	NR	0.19	NR	0.36	
Retrofit units	21	5.1	57	20	NR	34	NR	NR	0.19	NR	0.33	
New construction	0	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	
Overall	43	6.7	40	13	NR	33	NR	NR	0.19	NR	0.34	
BEES 200	Ð	7.0	38	30	15	38	15	15	0.22	0.22	0.22	
BEES 201	7	40	48	30	15	38	15	15	0.22	0.22	0.22	







Houses Lacking Complete	Households			
Plumbing or Kitchen Facilities	Number	Percent		
Lack complete plumbing	55	6%		
Lack complete kitchen	41	5%		

Estimated Total Annual Community Space Heating Fuel Use								
Fuel Oil	746,926	(gallons)						
Nat Gas	-	(ccf)						
Electricity	439,080	(kWh)						
Wood	266	(cords)						
Propane	-	(gallons)						
Coal	-	(tons)						

Avg Annual Energy Cost with PCE	\$8,821		
Avg Annual Energy Cost	\$10,409		
without PCE			

Estimated Energy Prices as of January 2013							
#1 Fuel oil cost (\$ / gallon)	\$6.28						
Electricity with PCE (\$/kWh)	\$0.32						
Electricity cost without PCE (\$/kWh)	\$0.58						

Weatherization Program Retrofits								
(funding increased in 2008)								
Date Range	Units							
2008-2011	0							
2003-2007	-							
1990-2002	22							

Housing Stock Estimates	Number of Units
All Housing	1081
All Occupied Housing	911
All Vacant housing	170
Vacant Housing for Sale or Rent	30





ENERGY - Kotzebue city												
Current Kotzebue city Housing Energy Characteristics By Decade Built												
Current Residential	Number of	Avg Energy	Avg Energy Rating	Δνα δα	Avg. Annual Avg. Annual /		Avg Ann Energy by	End Use (m	illion Btus)	Δνσ ΕΙΙΙ		Avg. Home Heating
Units by Year Built	Records	Rating Stars	Points	Feet	Energy Cost (with PCE)	Energy Use (million BTUs)	Space Heating	DHW	Appliances	(kBTUS/SF)	(\$ / SF)	Index
OVERALL	140	3-star	69.3	1,258	\$ 8,821	168	114	28	26	147	\$ 7.90	6.5
Pre- 1940	0	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
1940- 49	0	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
1950- 59	3	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
1960- 69	5	2-star plus	64.7	2,076	\$ 11,645	212	166	20	26	140	\$ 7.66	6.9
1970- 79	45	2-star plus	64.5	1,073	\$ 9,021	169	115	29	26	164	\$ 9.04	7.0
1980- 89	53	2-star plus	67.5	1,402	\$ 10,171	193	142	26	26	142	\$ 7.53	6.6
1990- 99	8	4-star	79.0	963	\$ 5,314	109	63	23	22	114	\$ 5.56	4.2
2000- 2004	5	5-star	89.4	1,761	\$ 6,819	131	73	31	28	74	\$ 3.89	2.6
2005 or later	21	5-star	89.8	1,169	\$ 5,355	104	53	29	22	89	\$ 4.57	2.8





	Current Kotzebue city Housing Envelope Characteristics By Decade Built										
Current Residential Units by Year Built	Number of Records	ACH 50	Ceiling R	Above Grade Wall R	Below Grade Wall R	Above Grade Floor R	On Grade Floor R	Below Grade Floor R	Door U	Garage Door U	Window U
OVERALL	140	6.9	26	16	NR	28	3	NR	0.25	0.19	0.46
Pre- 1940	0	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
1940- 49	0	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
1950- 59	3	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
1960- 69	5	7.1	27	14	NR	NR	NR	NR	0.22	NR	0.45
1970- 79	45	7.2	22	15	NR	27	NR	NR	0.26	NR	0.51
1980- 89	53	6.8	30	16	NR	30	NR	NR	0.24	NR	0.45
1990- 99	8	5.1	29	20	NR	35	NR	NR	0.27	NR	0.34
2000- 2004	5	2.6	42	30	NR	37	NR	NR	0.19	NR	0.32
2005 or later	21	4.7	76	23	NR	39	NR	NR	0.19	NR	0.31
BEES 2009 - Climat	te Zone 8	7.0	38	30	15	38	15	15	0.22	0.22	0.22
BEES 2012 - Climat	te Zone 8	4.0	48	30	15	38	15	15	0.22	0.22	0.22





Avg % of Median Income Spent on Energy 12.3%





Houses Lacking Complete	Households			
Plumbing or Kitchen Facilities	Number	Percent		
Lack complete plumbing	6	6%		
Lack complete kitchen	6	6%		

Estimated Total Annual Community Space Heating Fuel Use								
Fuel Oil	48,656	(gallons)						
Nat Gas	-	(ccf)						
Electricity	33,791	(kWh)						
Wood	102	(cords)						
Propane	-	(gallons)						
Coal	-	(tons)						

Avg Annual Energy Cost with PCE	\$5,373
Avg Annual Energy Cost without PCE	\$8,366

Estimated Energy Prices as of January 2013									
#1 Fuel oil cost (\$ / gallon)	\$6.28								
Electricity with PCE (\$/kWh)	\$0.22								
Electricity cost without PCE (\$/kWh)	\$0.73								

Weatherization Program Retrofits										
(funding increased in 2008)										
Date Range	Units									
2008-2011	62									
2003-2007	NR									
1990-2002	NR									

Housing Stock Estimates	Number of Units
All Housing	122
All Occupied Housing	106
All Vacant housing	16





	ENERGY - Noatak CDP												
Current Noatak CDP Housing Energy Characteristics By Decade Built													
Current Residential	Number of	Avg Energy	Avg Energy Rating	Avg Sa	Avg. Annual	Avg. Annual	Avg Ann Energy by	End Use (m	illion Btus)	Avg. FUI	Avg. FCI	Avg. Home Heating	
Units by Year Built	Records	Rating Stars	Points	Feet	Energy Cost (with PCE)	ith Energy Use Space Heating (million BTUs)		DHW	Appliances	(kBTUS/SF)	(\$ / SF)	Index	
OVERALL	62	3-star plus	73.4	875	\$ 5,373	111	79	8	24	125	\$ 6.58	5.3	
Pre- 1940	0	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	
1940- 49	0	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	
1950- 59	0	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	
1960- 69	8	3-star	72.1	832	\$ 5,272	97	75	0	22	117	\$ 6.34	5.5	
1970- 79	26	2-star plus	60.2	751	\$ 5,150	145	123	0	22	191	\$ 6.80	10.0	
1980- 89	37	4-star	78.2	854	\$ 5,214	104	65	15	25	125	\$ 6.28	4.7	
1990- 99	33	4-star	82.3	1,010	\$ 5,123	90	60	8	23	92	\$ 5.33	3.5	
2000- 2004	8	3-star plus	73.4	720	\$ 9,002	91	42	29	20	127	\$ 12.50	3.5	
2005 or later	14	4-star	81.0	1,052	\$ 6,597	97	64	9	23	91	\$ 6.10	3.6	







	Current Noatak CDP Housing Envelope Characteristics By Decade Built													
Current Residential Units by Year Built	Number of Records	ACH 50	Ceiling R	Above Grade Wall R	Below Grade Wall R	Above Grade Floor R	On Grade Floor R	Below Grade Floor R	Door U	Garage Door U	Window U			
OVERALL	62	6.5	30	20	NR	33	NR	NR	0.40	NR	0.46			
Pre- 1940	0	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR			
1940- 49	0	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR			
1950- 59	0	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR			
1960- 69	8	6.2	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR			
1970- 79	26	8.2	17	18	NR	26	NR	NR	0.52	NR	0.61			
1980- 89	37	6.3	33	20	NR	34	NR	NR	0.37	NR	0.44			
1990- 99	33	5.4	46	31	NR	38	NR	NR	0.39	NR	0.35			
2000- 2004	8	5.8	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR			
2005 or later	14	5.1	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR			
											-			
BEES 2009 - Climat	te Zone 8	7.0	38	30	15	38	15	15	0.22	0.22	0.22			
BEES 2012 - Climat	te Zone 8	4.0	48	30	15	38	15	15	0.22	0.22	0.22			

Alaska Housing



Avg % of Median Income Spent on Energy 8.7%







Electricity without PCE (\$/kWh)

\$

0.61

All Vacant housing

26



Selawik city Housing Envelope Characteristics													
Residential Unit	Number of		Coiling P	Above Grade Wall R	Below Grade Wall	Above Grade Floor	On Grade Floor B	Below Grade Floor B	DoorU	Garage	Window		
Categories	Records	ACH 50	Centrig K	Above Grade Wall R	R	R		below Grade Floor K	00010	Door U	U		
Pre-retrofit units	85	11.6	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR		
Retrofit units	85	5.5	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR		
New construction	0	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR		
· · · · · ·		NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR		
BEES 2009		7.0	38	30	15	38	15	15	0.22	0.22	0.22		
BEES 201	2	4.0	48	30	15	38	15	15	0.22	0.22	0.22		







	Snungnak city housing Envelope Characteristics												
Residential Unit	Number of	ACH 50	Ceiling R	Above Grade Wall R	Below Grade Wall	Above Grade Floor	e Grade Floor On Grade Floor B	Below Grade Floor R	Door U	Garage	Window		
Categories	Records	Ach 50	Cening K		R	R	on drade moor k			Door U	U		
Pre-retrofit units	14	8.9	28	18	NR	26	NR	NR	0.39	NR	0.55		
Retrofit units	0	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR		
New construction	0	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR		
Overall	14	8.9	28	18	NR	26	NR	NR	0.39	NR	0.55		
BEES 2009		7.0	38	30	15	38	15	15	0.22	0.22	0.22		
BEES 2012	2	4.0	48	30	15	38	15	15	0.22	0.22	0.22		



